
TABLE OF CONTENTS

AUTHORS/ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	inside front cover
SUGGESTED CITATION FORMAT	C - 1
PREFACE	P -1
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	S - 1
INTRODUCTION	S - 1
RESULTS OF THE 7-YEAR RESEARCH PERIOD	S - 2
FLOW RECOMMENDATION	S - 3
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1 - 1
DOCUMENT PURPOSE	1 - 1
DOCUMENT ORGANIZATION	1 - 6
CHAPTER 2: GEOMORPHOLOGY, HYDROLOGY, AND HABITAT	2 - 1
GEOMORPHOLOGY	2 - 1
General Description	2 - 1
Comparison with Green and Upper Colorado Rivers	2 - 4
SJRIIP Study Area	2 - 6
HYDROLOGY	2 - 12
Pre-Navajo Dam (1929 to 1961)	2 - 12
Post-Dam Period (1962 to 1991)	2 - 15
Research Period (1992 to 1997)	2 - 15
WATER TEMPERATURE	2 - 17
HABITAT	2 - 17
Habitat Quantity	2 - 21
Habitat Quality	2 - 26
Comparison with Green and Colorado Rivers	2 - 29
CHAPTER 3: LIFE HISTORY OF THE FISHES	3 - 1
COLORADO PIKEMINNOW	3 - 1
Spawning	3 - 2
Eggs	3 - 7
Larvae	3 - 8
Juveniles	3 - 9
Adults	3 - 11
RAZORBACK SUCKER	3 - 13
Spawning	3 - 13
Eggs	3 - 15
Larval and Early Juvenile Life Stage	3 - 16
Late Juvenile Life Stage	3 - 17
Adult Life Stage	3 - 18
OTHER NATIVE FISHES	3 - 19

Flannelmouth Sucker	3 - 19
Bluehead Sucker	3 - 21
Roundtail Chub	3 - 22
Speckled Dace	3 - 25
NONNATIVE SPECIES	3 - 27
Red Shiner	3 - 27
Fathead Minnow	3 - 29
Channel Catfish	3 - 31
Common Carp	3 - 34
CHAPTER 4: PHYSICAL AND BIOLOGICAL RESPONSE TO RESEARCH FLOWS	4 - 1
PHYSICAL RESPONSES TO RESEARCH FLOWS	4 - 2
Channel Morphology	4 - 4
Habitat	4 - 29
BIOLOGICAL RESPONSES TO RESEARCH FLOWS	4 - 37
Colorado Pikeminnow	4 - 40
Razorback Sucker	4 - 49
Other Native Fishes	4 - 53
Nonnative Species	4 - 72
CHAPTER 5: CONTAMINANT CONSIDERATIONS IN THE FLOW RECOMMENDATION PROCESS	5 - 1
HISTORICAL CONDITIONS	5 - 1
TOXICITY STUDIES	5 - 4
FLOW/WATER QUALITY IMPLICATIONS	5 - 4
CHAPTER 6: SUMMARY OF FISH FLOW/HABITAT RELATIONSHIPS	6 - 1
RESPONSES TO RESEARCH FLOWS	6 - 1
GENERAL SUMMARY OF NATIVE SPECIES HABITAT NEEDS	6 - 3
RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN FLOW AND NONNATIVE SPECIES	6 - 6
FLOW/HABITAT RELATIONSHIPS	6 - 6
SUMMARY	6 - 7
CHAPTER 7: FLOW RECOMMENDATION DEVELOPMENT PROCESS	7 - 1
BASIS FOR FLOW RECOMMENDATION	7 - 1
FLOW/HABITAT MODEL	7 - 2
SEDIMENT TRANSPORT MODELING	7 - 7
Cobble Transport Modeling for Spawning Bar Preparation	7 - 7
Fine Sediment Transport	7 - 8
RIVER OPERATION SIMULATION MODEL	7 - 8
RIVERWARE	7 - 9
RIVERWARE MODEL OF THE SAN JUAN RIVER	7 - 10
PARAMETER SELECTION AND OPTIMIZATION PROCESS	7 - 14

CHAPTER 8: FLOW RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE RECOVERY OF ENDANGERED FISHES	8 - 1
RECOMMENDED HYDROGRAPH CONDITIONS	8 - 2
RECOMMENDED RESERVOIR OPERATING RULES	8 - 6
MODEL RESULTS	8 - 14
Hydrologic Results	8 - 14
Backwater Habitat Results	8 - 21
Fish Recovery, Water Development, and Flow Recommendations	8 - 21
REFERENCES	R - 1
GLOSSARY	G - 1
APPENDIX A: RESPONSE TO COMMENTS ON THE FLOW RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE SAN JUAN RIVER DRAFT REPORT (DECEMBER 4, 1998)	

LIST OF TABLES

Table S.1. Flow requirements needed to produce important biological responses and habitats in the San Juan River.	S - 4
Table 2.1. The major habitat types mapped in the San Juan River from color plates taken from airborne videography.	2 - 7
Table 2.2. Reach definitions, variables considered, and their mean values within each reach used in defining geomorphically different reaches.	2 - 8
Table 2.3. Comparison of storm magnitude and frequency for the Colorado River at Cisco gage, Green River at Green River gage, and San Juan River near Bluff gage.	2 - 14
Table 2.4. Comparison of hydrograph statistics for pre-dam (1929 to 1961), post-dam (1962 to 1991), and research periods (1992 to 1997) for the San Juan River near Bluff, Utah.	2 - 16
Table 2.5. Eight general categories of habitat types on the San Juan River.	2 - 22
Table 2.6. A comparison of habitat quality features in runs and riffles by geomorphic reach for 1995, 1996, and 1997 combined in the San Juan River.	2 - 28
Table 2.7. A riverwide comparison of habitat quality features for five sample periods in the San Juan River.	2 - 28
Table 2.8. Habitat types as a percent of total wetted area (TWA) for the 15-mile reach of the Colorado River at three flow levels.	2 - 29
Table 4.1. Summary of Navajo Dam release hydrograph characteristics during the research period, 1992 to 1997.	4 - 1

Table 4.2.	Summary of research flows for the pre-dam and research periods, San Juan River near Bluff, Utah.	4 - 3
Table 4.3.	Summary of research flows for the research period, San Juan River at Four Corners, New Mexico.	4 - 4
Table 4.4.	Bankfull discharge from HEC-RAS modeling of four 0.25 mile (mi) reaches in the San Juan River between River Mile (RM) 133 and RM 174. . . .	4 - 14
Table 4.5.	Summary of cobble movement at surveyed cross-sections with hydrographic conditions.	4 - 16
Table 4.6.	Summary of depth of open interstitial space in cobble bars.	4 - 17
Table 4.7.	Boundary shear stress conditions at various flow rates for four modeled reaches.	4 - 19
Table 4.8.	Flows required to meet critical shear stress conditions for cobble transport.	4 - 19
Table 4.9.	Sediment concentrations (parts per million (ppm)) used in HEC-6 simulations.	4 - 27
Table 4.10.	Summary of HEC-6 modeling results for Montezuma Creek site.	4 - 27
Table 4.11.	A comparison of significant correlations ($\alpha=0.05$) between the hydrologic parameters investigated for antecedent conditions relative to backwater surface areas.	4 - 31
Table 4.12.	The coefficient of determination expressed as r^2 and their associated p values for backwater habitat area normalized to 1,000 cfs compared with various antecedent hydrologic conditions.	4 - 31
Table 4.13.	The mean and standard deviations for chemical, physical, and biological parameters sampled in backwaters during August 1995, 1996, and 1997 in the San Juan River.	4 - 33
Table 4.14.	Number of young-of-the-year (YOY) and juvenile wild Colorado pikeminnow collected annually from 1987 to 1996 in the San Juan River during monitoring studies.	4 - 41
Table 4.15.	Habitat selection for radio-tagged Colorado pikeminnow in the San Juan River, 1993 to 1994.	4 - 46
Table 4.16.	Calculated selection for radio-tagged razorback sucker in the San Juan River, 1994 to 1997.	4 - 50
Table 4.17.	Data pertaining to specific UDWR seining trips used in correlations.	4 - 54

Table 4.18.	Average catch rates (number/100 m ²) with standard errors (in parentheses) and Pearson correlation coefficients (<i>r</i> values) of various hydrologic (Table 4.3) and trip (Table 4.17) parameters for flannemouth sucker young-of-the-year (YOY) in the San Juan River (only 1991-96 data used in correlations).	4 - 55
Table 4.19.	Average catch rates (number/100 m ²) with standard errors (in parentheses) and Pearson correlation coefficients (<i>r</i> values) of various hydrologic (Table 4.3) and trip (Table 4.17) parameters for bluehead sucker young-of-the-year (YOY) in the San Juan River (only 1991 to 1996 data used in correlations).	4 - 63
Table 4.20.	Average catch rates (number/100 m ²) with standard errors (in parentheses) and Pearson correlation coefficients (<i>r</i> values) of various hydrologic (Table 4.3) and trip (Table 4.17) parameters for speckled dace in the San Juan River (only 1991 to 1996 data used in correlations).	4 - 69
Table 4.21.	Correlation of spring runoff attributes with speckled dace summer density in San Juan River secondary channels.	4 - 70
Table 4.22.	Correlation of summer low flow attributes with speckled dace autumn density in San Juan River secondary channels.	4 - 72
Table 4.23.	Habitat selection for radio-tagged channel catfish in the San Juan River, October 1996 through September 1997.	4 - 81
Table 4.24.	Average catch rates (number/100 m ²) with standard errors (in parentheses) and Pearson correlation coefficients (<i>r</i> values) of various hydrologic (Table 4.3) and trip (Table 4.17) parameters for red shiner in the San Juan River (only 1991 to 1996 data used in correlations).	4 - 83
Table 4.25.	Pre- and post-peak spring runoff density of red shiner at the Channel from Hell permanent study site.	4 - 85
Table 4.26.	Correlation of summer flow attributes versus autumn density of red shiner in San Juan River secondary channels by geomorphic reach.	4 - 86
Table 4.27.	Correlation of spring runoff attributes with fathead minnow summer density in San Juan River secondary channels.	4 - 88
Table 4.28.	Correlation of summer low-flow attributes with fathead minnow autumn density in San Juan River secondary channels.	4 - 88
Table 5.1.	Mean concentrations of selected trace elements under various constraints. . . .	5 - 2

Table 5.2.	Acute toxicity (LC ₅₀ -96 hr) concentrations for several contaminants in the San Juan River.	5 - 4
Table 6.1.	Flow requirements needed to produce important biological responses and habitats in the San Juan River.	6 - 8
Table 7.1.	Controlling habitat conditions by hydrograph season.	7 - 2
Table 7.2.	Parameters used for comparison and optimization in the operation modeling process.	7 - 17
Table 7.3.	Summary of average annual depletions by component for each model scenario when modeled with a peak release of 5,000 cfs	7 - 18
Table 7.4.	Summary of average annual depletions by component for each model scenario when modeled with a peak release of 6,000 cfs	7 - 20
Table 7.5.	Range of annual depletions for each modeled scenario.	7 - 23
Table 8.1.	Frequency distribution table for flow/duration recommendations.	8 - 3
Table 8.2.	Recommended daily ramp rates for 1-week, 2-week, 3-week, and 4-week ramps for a 5,000-cfs peak release.	8 - 11
Table 8.3.	Recommended daily ramp rates for 1-week, 2-week, 3-week, and 4-week ramps for a 6,000-cfs peak lease.	8 - 12
Table 8.4.	Minimum carry-over storage for modeled levels of development for use in determination of available water per Figures 8.1 and 8.2.	8 - 13
Table 8.5.	Comparison of hydrograph statistics for six levels of development for the 1929 to 1993 period and three historical periods for a 5,000-cfs peak release.	8 - 15
Table 8.6.	Comparison of hydrograph statistics for six levels of development for the 1929 to 1993 period and three historical periods for a 6,000-cfs peak release.	8 - 16
Table 8.7.	Comparison of modeled backwater area for six levels of development and three historical periods with a peak release of 5,000 cfs.	8 - 26
Table 8.8.	Comparison of modeled backwater area for six levels of development and three historical periods with a peak release of 6,000 cfs.	8 - 27

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1.	San Juan River Basin Recovery Implementation Program (SJRIP) research study area.	2 - 3
Figure 2.2.	Generalized bed profiles for San Juan, Green, Yampa, and Colorado rivers.	2 - 5
Figure 2.3.	San Juan River near Bluff, Utah, average hydrographs for pre-dam, post-dam, and 7-year research period.	2 - 13
Figure 2.4.	Annual hydrographs for the San Juan River at Four Corners for 1987 to 1990.	2 - 18
Figure 2.5.	Annual hydrographs for the San Juan River at Four Corners for 1991 to 1997.	2 - 19
Figure 2.6.	Mean daily water temperature for the San Juan River at Archuleta, New Mexico, and at Shiprock, New Mexico, during pre-dam and post-dam conditions.	2 - 20
Figure 2.7.	Habitat types as a percent of total wetted area (TWA) for the San Juan River at three flow levels.	2 - 23
Figure 2.8.	The distributions of the major habitat types by geomorphic reach for a base-flow condition (September 1995).	2 - 25
Figure 3.1.	Back-calculated dates of <i>Ptychocheilus lucius</i> spawning based on larval and juvenile specimens collected in the San Juan River, 1987 to 1996.	3 - 5
Figure 4.1.	Cross-section surveys of the San Juan River at River Transect (RT) 01 for the period 1992 to 1997.	4 - 6
Figure 4.2.	Average relative bed elevation for the 11 River Transects (RTs) for the research period.	4 - 7
Figure 4.3.	Average relative bed elevations for the Mixer and Debris Field transects.	4 - 10
Figure 4.4.	Relative bed elevation for two transects in Reach 1.	4 - 10
Figure 4.5.	Relationship between main channel flow and island count.	4 - 11
Figure 4.6.	Island count in Reaches 3, 4, and 5 at base flow vs. time as a measure of change in channel complexity.	4 - 12
Figure 4.7.	Flow and backwater depths for 1997 runoff for the Montezuma Creek and Sand Island sites.	4 - 24
Figure 4.8.	HEC-6 calibration results for Sand Island and Montezuma Creek.	4 - 25

Figure 4.9.	Modeling results with small change in grain size to demonstrate sensitivity.	4 - 26
Figure 4.10	A comparison, by reach and year (1995 top, 1996 middle, 1997 lower) for sediment depth (left column) and water depth (right column) in San Juan River backwaters during August.. . . .	4 - 35
Figure 4.11.	A comparison by reach in August 1995 (upper), 1996 (middle), and 1997 (lower) of the averages for invertebrates (left column), periphyton (middle column), and detritus (right column) in the San Juan River.	4 - 36
Figure 4.12.	The response of invertebrates biomass estimates during two separate time periods corresponding to a stable period (November 1995 to April 1996, above) and an unstable period (December 1996 to April 1997, below) in backwaters of the San Juan River.	4 - 38
Figure 4.13.	The response of periphyton biomass estimates during two separate time periods corresponding to a stable period (November 1995 to April 1996, above) and an unstable period (December 1996 to April 1997, below) in backwaters of the San Juan River.	4 - 39
Figure 4.14.	Locations of wild Colorado pikeminnow collections in the San Juan River from 1987 to 1996.	4 - 43
Figure 4.15.	Simple verses complex habitat areas mapped from River Mile (RM) 106.9 and 129.9 of the San Juan River.	4 - 45
Figure 4.16.	Flows during August UDWR YOY sampling trips on the San Juan River.	4 - 56
Figure 4.17.	Length-frequency histogram for flannemouth sucker collected during October USFWS electrofishing surveys on the San Juan River (RM 52 to 158). Age-2 fish in 1995 (1993 cohort) are in the 176 to 250 mm size range.	4 - 58
Figure 4.18.	Average catch rates for juvenile and adult flannemouth and bluehead sucker collected curing October (1991-97) USFWS electrofishing surveys in the San Juan River between RM 2 and 158.	4 - 58
Figure 4.19.	Flows during spring and fall USFWS electrofishing trips on the San Juan River.	4 - 60
Figure 4.20.	Regression line fitted to plot of average catch rates of flannemouth sucker collected during October (1991 to 1997) USFWS San Juan River electrofishing surveys versus sampling trip discharge (as measured at USGS gage 09371010, Four Corners, New Mexico).	4 - 60
Figure 4.21.	San Juan River discharge (as measured at USGS gage 09371010,	

	Four Corners, New Mexico) versus average juvenile flannemouth sucker condition. Condition factor was determined using data collected by USFWS electrofishing surveys (RM 52 to 158) within designated reaches.	4 - 61
Figure 4.22.	San Juan River discharge (as measured at USGS gage 09371010, Four Corners, New Mexico) versus average adult flannemouth sucker condition. Condition factor was determined using data collected by USFWS electrofishing surveys (RM 52 to 158) within designated reaches.	4 - 61
Figure 4.23.	Length-frequency histogram for bluehead sucker collected during October USFWS electrofishing surveys on the San Juan River (RM 52 to 158).	4 - 65
Figure 4.24.	Regression line fitted to plot of average catch rates of bluehead sucker collected during October (1991 to 1997) USFWS electrofishing surveys on the San Juan River versus sampling trip discharge (as measured at USGS gage 09371010, Four Corners, New Mexico).	4 - 65
Figure 4.25.	Average catch rates (\pm standard deviation) of bluehead sucker during October USFWS electrofishing surveys on the San Juan River (RM 159 to 180).	4 - 66
Figure 4.26.	San Juan River discharge (as measured at USGS gage 09371010, Four Corners, New Mexico) versus average juvenile bluehead sucker condition. Condition factor was determined using data collected by USFWS electrofishing surveys (RM 52 to 158) within designated reaches.	4 - 68
Figure 4.27.	San Juan River discharge (as measured at USGS gage 09371010, Four Corners, New Mexico) versus average adult bluehead sucker condition. Condition factor was determined using data collected by USFWS electrofishing surveys (RM 52 to 158) within designated reaches.	4 - 68
Figure 4.28.	Density of speckled dace in San Juan River secondary channels (5-mile increments), 1992 to 1997, New Mexico, Colorado, and Utah.	4 - 71
Figure 4.29.	Relative abundance of nonnative fishes (top) and catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) (number of fish/minute) for channel catfish and common carp (bottom) collected during May and October electrofishing surveys of the San Juan River, 1991 to 1997.	4 - 73
Figure 4.30.	Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) (number of fish/minute) for channel catfish (top) and common carp (bottom) by size-class and year collected	

	during May and October electrofishing surveys of the San Juan River, 1991 to 1997.	4 - 75
Figure 4.31.	Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) (number of fish/minute) for channel catfish (top) and common carp (bottom) by size-class and year collected during May and October electrofishing surveys of the San Juan River, 1997.	4 - 76
Figure 4.32.	Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) (number of fish/minute) for channel catfish (top) and common carp (bottom) by size-class and year collected during May electrofishing surveys of the San Juan River, 1991 to 1997.	4 - 77
Figure 4.33.	Catch rates (number/100m ²) for young-of-the-year (YOY) channel catfish and common carp collected in main channel habitats (top) in autumn and secondary channel habitats in August (bottom) during seining surveys of the San Juan River, 1991 to 1996.	4 - 78
Figure 4.34.	Flow (as measured at Four Corners gage 09371010) vs. average condition ($K = 100w^{1/3}$) for adult channel catfish (top) and adult common carp (bottom) collected during May and October electrofishing surveys of the San Juan River, 1991 to 1997.	4 - 80
Figure 4.35.	Density of red shiner in the San Juan River (5-mile increments), 1992 to 1997, New Mexico, Colorado, and Utah.	4 - 84
Figure 5.1.	Selenium (Se) concentration in macroinvertebrates vs. distance downstream in the San Juan River.	5 - 3
Figure 6.1.	The relationship between habitat needs and flow for the native fishes of the San Juan River.	6 - 5
Figure 7.1.	Flow/habitat relationships for four low-velocity habitats used by rare fishes in the San Juan River.	7 - 4
Figure 7.2.	Flow/backwater habitat area relationships for Reach 3.	7 - 5
Figure 7.3.	Flow/backwater habitat model for Reaches 1 to 4 and 1 to 5 based on flushed and nonflushed conditions.	7 - 6
Figure 7.4.	Schematic of the San Juan River Basin as modeled, excluding gains and losses associated with the Animas-La Plata Project (ALP) without modeled details of the tributaries.	7 - 15
Figure 7.5.	The San Juan RiverWare Model as it appears on the computer screen showing the mainstem reach from Navajo Dam downstream towards Farmington, New Mexico.	7 - 16

Figure 8.1.	Flow chart of Navajo Dam operating rules for a 5,000-cfs peak release.	8 - 8
Figure 8.2.	Flow chart of Navajo Dam operating rules for a 6,000-cfs peak release.	8 - 9
Figure 8.3.	Frequency/duration relationship for flows exceeding 2,500 cubic feet per second (cfs) with a peak release of 5,000 cfs.	8 - 17
Figure 8.4.	Frequency/duration relationship for flows exceeding 5,000 cubic feet per second (cfs) with a peak release of 5,000 cfs.	8 - 17
Figure 8.5.	Frequency/duration relationship for flows exceeding 8,000 cubic feet per second (cfs) with a peak release of 5,000 cfs.	8 - 18
Figure 8.6.	Frequency/duration relationship for flows exceeding 10,000 cubic feet per second (cfs) with a peak release of 5,000 cfs.	8 - 18
Figure 8.7.	Frequency/duration relationship for flows exceeding 2,500 cubic feet per second (cfs) with a peak release of 6,000 cfs.	8 - 19
Figure 8.8.	Frequency/duration relationship for flows exceeding 5,000 cubic feet per second (cfs) with a peak release of 6,000 cfs.	8 - 19
Figure 8.9.	Frequency/duration relationship for flows exceeding 8,000 cubic feet per second (cfs) with a peak release of 6,000 cfs.	8 - 20
Figure 8.10.	Frequency/duration relationship for flows exceeding 10,000 cubic feet per second (cfs) with a peak release of 6,000 cfs.	8 - 20
Figure 8.11.	Time line for meeting minimum duration of flows > 2,475 cubic feet per second (cfs) (97% of 2,500) with a peak release of 5,000 cfs.	8 - 22
Figure 8.12.	Time line for meeting minimum duration of flows > 4,850 cubic feet per second (cfs) (97% of 5,000) with a peak release of 5,000 cfs.	8 - 22
Figure 8.13.	Time line for meeting minimum duration of flows > 7,760 cubic feet per second (cfs) (97% of 8,000) with a peak release of 5,000 cfs.	8 - 23

Figure 8.14.	Time line for meeting minimum duration of flows > 9,700 cubic feet per second (cfs) (97% of 10,000) with a peak release of 5,000 cfs.	8 - 23
Figure 8.15.	Time line for meeting minimum duration of flows > 2,475 cubic feet per second (cfs) (97% of 2,500) with a peak release of 6,000 cfs.	8 - 24
Figure 8.16.	Time line for meeting minimum duration of flows > 4,850 cubic feet per second (cfs) (97% of 5,000) with a peak release of 6,000 cfs.	8 - 24
Figure 8.17.	Time line for meeting minimum duration of flows > 7,760 cubic feet per second (cfs) (97% of 8,000) with a peak release of 6,000 cfs.	8 - 25
Figure 8.18.	Time line for meeting minimum duration of flows > 9,700 cubic feet per second (cfs) (97% of 10,000) with a peak release of 6,000 cfs.	8 - 25

LIST OF PLATES

Plate 3.1.	Colorado pikeminnow (<i>Ptychocheilus lucius</i>).	3 - 1
Plate 3.2.	Razorback sucker (<i>Xyrauchen texanus</i>).	3 - 13
Plate 3.3.	Flannelmouth sucker (<i>Catostomus latipinnis</i>).	3 - 19
Plate 3.4.	Bluehead sucker (<i>Catostomus discobolus</i>).	3 - 21
Plate 3.5.	Roundtail chub (<i>Gila robusta</i>).	3 - 22
Plate 3.6.	Speckled dace (<i>Rhinichthys osculus</i>).	3 - 25
Plate 3.7.	Red shiner (<i>Cyprinella lutrensis</i>).	3 - 27
Plate 3.8.	Fathead minnow (<i>Pimephales promelas</i>).	3 - 29
Plate 3.9.	Channel catfish (<i>Ictalurus punctatus</i>).	3 - 31
Plate 3.10.	Common carp (<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>).	3 - 34